

**N.O.M. NO. 50 - HEADFORD SQUARE - CLLR. E. VARLEY**

3274

The following written reply was given to Councillor Varley:-

"This location will be considered along with others when preparing the 1996 submission to the Department of the Environment."

**N.O.M. NO. 51 - PUBLIC LIGHTS - CLLR E. VARLEY**

3275

The following written reply was given to Councillor Varley:-

"It was not possible to include the above locations in the 1995 Public Lighting Programme due to lack of funds. However, the locations in question will be considered along with others when the 1996 Public Lighting Programme is being prepared."

**CHRIOCHNAIGH AN CRUINNIU ANSIN**

Submitted, Approved + Signed:

John M. Macnamara  
Chairman

25/1/95  
Date

**WILLIAMSTOWN SEWERAGE SCHEME**

**REPORT FOR COUNCIL UNDER LOCAL GOVERNMENT PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS 1994**

This report on the above development covers the nature and extent of the development and the principal features thereof.

**Background to the Scheme**

This scheme forms part of the overall strategy of Galway County Council to provide sewage treatment facilities in all its towns and villages.

The principal purpose of the scheme is to remove a constraint on the development of Williamstown and also to eliminate a public health hazard and public nuisance being created by the existing inadequate provision.

**Description of the present service provided**

Williamstown is serviced by a selection of septic tanks and open sewers, a substantial proportion of the effluent discharged makes its way into an existing swallow hole and from there into the ground water system. However, before it does so it creates a substantial nuisance on the surface of the field and in a small water course leading into the swallow hole. The impact of this discharge is at total variance with our policy of improving and enhancing the environment.

**Objectives**

Our objective is to eliminate the present problem by collecting the effluent in a new collection system and then by disposing of the collected effluent in an environmentally efficient and cost effective manner.

**Options**

In pursuing these objectives we have examined 2 options

1. Get each individual household property to treat and dispose of its own effluent.
2. Provide a municipal collection and disposal system.

Having examined both options in detail we conclude that the provision of a municipal treatment system is the preferred option from an economic and environmental prospective.

**Proposed Solution**

It is proposed to provide a new collection and treatment system. The disposal of the treated effluent from this proposed treatment plant creates difficulties because of the absence of an adequate watercourse into which it can be discharged. Two options were looked at to resolve this difficulty.

Option No. 1 was to pump it through a rising main to the Little Island River some 3 miles away and option No. 2 to provide a higher level of treatment on the site and to release the treated effluent into the ground water through a percolating system.

The second option is preferred from an economic point of view and consequently is included in the proposal.

**Evaluate the likely implications of the proposed development with respect to the proper planning and development of the area in which the development will be situated.**

The Planning Officer has indicated that the proposed development is in compliance with the proper planning and development of the area and complies with the County Development Plan.

**Issues arising with regard to planning and development on foot of submissions from persons or bodies or observations made in accordance with these regulations.**

No submissions have been received from interested bodies or persons.

**The Local Authorities Intentions**

It is the Council's intention to proceed with the development as originally proposed.

*Ma*  
22/8/95

**COMHAIRLE CHONTAE NA GAILLIMHE  
(Galway County Council)**

Oifig an Runai,  
Arus an Chontae,  
**GAILLIMH.**

22 Lúnasa, 1995.

**CHUIG GACH BALL DE'N CHOMHAIRLE/**

A Chara,

Iarrtar ort leis seo bheith i lathair ag cruinniú Miosiuil de Chomhairle Chontae na Gaillimhe a thionolfar ins na Foirgnithe Chontae, Gaillimh, De Luain, an 28ú Iúil, 1995, ag tosnu ar a 3.30 a chlog sa trathnona.

Mise, le meas,

*E. De Paor*  
E. De Paor  
Runai Sealadach

**CLAR**

1. Consideration of Minutes of the following Meetings:
  - (a) Minutes of Annual General Meeting held on 10th July, 1995.
  - (b) Minutes of Special Meeting held In Committee, on 14th July, 1995.
  - (c) Minutes of Special Meeting held In Committee on the 24th July, 1995.
  - (d) Minutes of Monthly Meeting held on the 24th July, 1995.
2. To note Minutes of National Monuments Advisory Committee Meeting held on 11th July, 1995.

3. To consider report under Local Government (Planning & Development) Regulations 1994 in connection with Sewerage Scheme for Williamstown.
4. Sale of Plot of land at Poolboy, Ballinasloe. Section 83 Notice dated 9th August, 1995 already circulated.
5. Sale of plot of land at Ballybane More, Galway. Section 83 Notice dated 1st August, 1995 already circulated.
6. Sale of plot of land at Gortyloughlin. Section 83 Notice dated 26th July, 1995 already circulated.
7. Sale of plot of land at Kylebroghlan. Section 83 Notice dated 26th July, 1995 already circulated.
8. Sale of plot of land at Tullymore. Section 83 Notice dated 15th August, 1995 already circulated.
9. Sale of plot of land at The Glebe, Tuam. Section 83 Notice dated 15th August, 1995 already circulated.
10. Regional and Local Roads Special Restoration Programme. Report dated 18th August, 1995 and circular letter dated 28th July, 1995 from D.O.E. attached.
11. To note Auditors Report for the River Suck Joint Drainage Committee for year ended 31st December, 1994.
12. To note Auditors Report for The Lough Corrib Navigation Trustees for year ended 31st December, 1994.
13. Casual Trading Act 1995 - Report dated 21st August, 1995 attached.
14. To fix date for meeting of Joint Committee on Travellers to consider discussion document.
15. To consider nominations for People of Year Awards 1995.
16. Business submitted by the Chairman.
17. Business submitted by the County Manager.

COUNCILLORS NOTICES OF MOTIONS :

- Adjourned From Previous Meeting :

CLR. MATT LOUGHNANE

- I will propose :

18

That a soak pit be provided at a specified location adjacent to the N6 route; details of which are attached.

CLR. JARLATH MC DONAGH

- I will propose :

19

That Galway County Council implement safety measures by way of improvement works at the highly dangerous Baunmore Crossroads - the scene of many accidents.

CLR. PADDY MC HUGH

- I will propose :

That Galway County Council carry out works to improve and make safe roadside wall at (details enclosed).

COUNCILLORS NOTICES OF MOTIONS :

- Other Motions Received :

- 21 CLR. TODDIE BYRNE  
- I will propose :  
That the drain, through property of Michael Tarpey, Ardrahan which takes the street water in Ardrahan Village, be reconstructed, as it is causing dampness to basement of the above mentioned's house.
- 22 CLR. TODDIE BYRNE  
- I will propose :  
That the Council investigate the possibility of providing a floating tank to hold sewerage discharge for release in outward tide at Kinvara. Sewerage in the quay in Kinvara can no longer be tolerated, and as the full treatment plant is years away, the above solution could ease the problem.
- 23 CLR. TODDIE BYRNE  
- I will propose :  
That in view of the big increase in the volume of traffic along the national secondary road from Kilcolgan, through Kinvara, and on to Ballyvaughan in Co. Clare a joint application by Galway & Clare County Councils for funds to be made to the N.R.A. to realign the many dangerous sections on the road.
- 24 CLR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM  
- I will propose :  
That Galway County Council would submit a Sewerage Scheme for Kinvara to the Department of the Environment.
- 25 CLR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM  
- I will propose :  
That Galway County Council raise portion of the road off the Ballylee Road leading to Brendan Downey's House and to Skehana.
- 26 CLR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM  
- I will propose :  
That Galway County Council raise portion of the road at Roo, Gort in the lower areas which are subject to flooding.
- 27 CLR. MICHAEL FAHY  
- I will propose :  
That Galway Co. Co. provide street lighting for the following - Maree Cross, Ardrahan Village, near Mattie

Collins House, Glenbrack, Gort, and Ballindereen Village.

- 28 CLR. MICHAEL FAHY  
- I will propose :  
That Galway Co. Co. provide monies for hedge cutting in Gort, Athenry and Loughrea Engineering Areas as all county roads in these areas are in a deplorable and dangerous condition with poor visibility due to hedge growth this Summer.
- 29 CLR. MICHAEL FAHY  
- I will propose :  
That a years extension including all of 1996 be given to the Ardrahan and Labane FAS schemes to complete wall building in these areas.
- 30 CLR. SEAMUS GAVIN  
- I will propose :  
That hedge-trimming is undertaken along the road from the burial-ground in Annaghdown to the Annagh River Bridge and on other roads in the Corrandulla-Annaghdown areas in the interests of safety.
- 31 CLR. SEAMUS GAVIN  
- I will propose :  
That urgently needed repairs are carried out on the Gortatsleva Road near Bushy Park. There are some very bad pot-holes on this route.
- 32 CLR. SEAMUS GAVIN  
- I will propose :  
That it be known when it is planned to position the concealed exit sign at the extremely dangerous bend at Kylebroughlawn near Moycullen Village? It was stated two years ago that this sign would be put in place following requests from local residents.
- 33 CLR. MATT LOUGHNANE  
- I will propose :  
That the heavy stones along the margin of the N6 near Killeeneen junction, Craughwell be removed.
- 34 CLR. MATT LOUGHNANE  
- I will propose :  
That the road be restored and surface dressed at a specified location. (details supplied)

35 CLR. MATT LOUGHNANE  
- I will propose :

That the Council provide picnic benches at The Bay, Woodford.

36 CLR. JARLATH MC DONAGH  
- I will propose :

That Galway County Council provide scour valves on the Lackaghmore G.W.S. This is a public water scheme for which people are paying rates and the water is of inferior quality. I wish to propose that Galway Co Co through the provision of scour valves at approx 5 locations at a minimum cost would bring this group water supply up to a proper standard.

37 CLR. JARLATH MC DONAGH  
- I will propose :

That Galway County Council put in place traffic regulation signs at junction of Gort/Cloonbiggeen/Grotadubha roads, Claregalway.

38 CLR. PADDY MC HUGH  
- I will propose :

That Galway County Council carry out road maintenance to the following roads (details supplied), that a time scale for carrying out of same be provided.

39 CLR. PADDY MC HUGH  
- I will propose :

That improvement/strengthening works to the Tuam to Beaghmore Road be carried out under the Road Improvement Works Programme for the expenditure of the additional road funding received by the County Council (details supplied).

40 CLR. PADDY MC HUGH  
- I will propose :

That Galway County Council carry out grass cutting on the road verges in North Galway.

41 CLR. MICHAEL MULLINS  
- I will propose :

That Galway County Council take over road at Glennaghloghaun South, Monivea, Athenry, Co. Galway.

COUNCILLORS NOTICES OF MOTIONS :

- Other Motions Received :

42 CLR. CONNIE NI FHATHARTA  
- I will propose :

Go ndeanfaidh Comhairle Chontae na Gaillimhe an bothar Reigiunach R 340 on Sraith Salach go Carna a dheisiu.

43 CLR. CONNIE NI FHATHARTA  
- I will propose :

Go gcuirfidh Comhairle Chontae na Gaillimhe dha comhartha "Dangerous Bends" in airde idir Seipeal Tir a Fhia agus crosbothar Tir a Fhia.

44 CLR. CONNIE NI FHATHARTA  
- I will propose :

Go gcuirfidh Comhairle Chontae na Gaillimhe "Reflector Board" ag an casamh idir Tigh Mairtin O hailin agus Peadar O Cualain, Cladhna, An Cheathru Rua.

45 CLR. EAMONN O'CUIV  
- I will propose :

That repairs be carried out as a matter of urgency to the house of Stephen Grealish, 7 The Fairgreen, Roundstone, Galway.

46 CLR. EAMONN O'CUIV  
- I will propose :

That repairs be carried out to the house of Padraic McDonnell, Derryheda, Leenane, Co. Galway.

47 CLR. PAT O'SULLIVAN  
- I will propose :

That immediate steps be taken to upgrade and make safe the road at Moaty, Kiltormer. Also that the bridge on this road be made safe.

48 CLR. PAT O'SULLIVAN  
- I will propose :

That the roadside drain from Brennans' house to Sheppards' house in Kellysgrove, Ballinasloe be cleaned immediately.

49 CLR. EVELYN VARLEY  
- I will propose :

That Galway County Council erect a sign at the Square,

Headford indicating "Lough Corrib".

CLR. EVELYN VARLEY  
- I will propose :

50

That Galway County Council include Headford Square in the 1996 Village Renewal Submission to the Department of the Environment.

CLR. EVELYN VARLEY  
- I will propose :

51

That 2 public lights be provided at Cloonboo, Corrandulla near Regan's Public House.

## CHOMHAIRLE CHONTAE NA GAILLIMHE

### MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF GALWAY COUNTY

### COUNCIL HELD IN COMMITTEE AT THE COUNTY

### BUILDINGS, GALWAY ON MONDAY, 21st AUGUST, 1995

**Cathaoirleach:** - Councillor J. Mannion

**Baill:-** Cllrs. J. Brennan, J. Burke, T. Byrne, J. Callanan, Paul Connaughton, Deputy E. O'Cuiv, Cllrs. M. Cunningham, M. Fahy, P. Finnegan, M. Finnerty, S. Gavin, T. Hussey, M. Loughnane, J. McClearn, Deputy P. McCormack, Cllrs. P. McHugh, C. Ni Fhatharta, S. O'Neachtain, M. O'Neill, P. O'Sullivan, P. O'Tuathail, K. Quinn, M. Ryan and T. Walsh

**Oifigigh:-** Messrs. D. Nelson, Deputy County Manager, T. Kavanagh, Acting Asst. County Manager, B. Callagy, L. Kavanagh, S.E.E. 's, A. Comer, Asst. Planner, E. Power, Acting County Secretary, Ms. B. Ginnelly, Clerk Typist, Ms. M. O'Halloran, Clerk Typist.

Thosnaigh an crinniu leis an paidir.

#### REVIEW OF THE COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PLAN

3223

#### Chapter 6

The meeting commenced with a discussion on Chapter 6 which deals with land use planning. Mr. Callagy spoke on population requirements which were necessary for the maintenance of schools, shops etc. in the locality. He added that the purpose of the plan is to zone adequate land for population growth. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that this comes under Strategy 3 of Chapter 6. Was Oranmore being designed on basis of population being decided first and then decide that 5,000 people must go in there. He stated that 90% of communities are not viable if you look at the statement on the beginning of page 2 in this document. He expressed his disagreement with this statement being included in the plan. Councillor Byrne stated that he supported Deputy O'Cuiv in this matter. He added that there was no mention of speed limits when Oranmore was being talked about. Mr. Callagy stated that these are suggested options and the land use transportation study will look at all options. It was necessary to prepare a plan and you must have design populations set down.

He added that public demand will dictate the rate at which Oranmore develops and what the Council was doing was facilitating future growth. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that in facilitating future growth this involved population movement. Councillor Quinn questioned why was one area picked out where people were moved into it. She stated that she did not agree with crowding people into the city and also expressed her disagreement with the restricted area around Galway City. She added that families can be brought up better in rural area. Mr. Callagy stated that Oranmore needed a development plan and that there was nothing wrong with planning for this area. This would not entail requirements that people should move into this area. He added that the paragraph refers only to Oranmore. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that he did not agree that it referred only to Oranmore and could not understand where the figure mentioned came from. Deputy McCormack stated that the Council could not put people into Oranmore if they did not want to move there. He expressed his approval for the sewerage scheme in Oranmore. Deputy O'Cuiv proposed that the first paragraph on page 2 be removed. Councillor Loughnane stated that the sewerage scheme for Oranmore was inadequately designed as it did not cater for Frenchfort. He added that it does not cover existing villages. Deputy McCormack stated that this was only an objective and that it was not telling people where to live. The council has to cater for development in the Oranmore area. Councillor Quinn seconded Deputy O'Cuiv's proposal. Deputy McCormack stated that there was nothing wrong with the paragraph. Mr. Callagy stated that this was only an objective and that you have to plan for areas where development was going to take place. He added that he did not see how planning for Oranmore undermines peoples request to live elsewhere and that nothing would be gained by leaving this paragraph out. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that he objected to design population figures and to this statement. This statement relates to communities in general. Councillor Brennan wished to know if by leaving this statement out what effect will it have on the plan. Mr. Callagy stated that if you are preparing a plan you must prepare it around a population figure. Councillor Joe Burke stated that all the members agreed that a plan for Oranmore is necessary, but the fear is that people will be put into Oranmore. Mr. Callagy stated that a plan for Oranmore was required and recently permission has been refused for 100 houses because of the lack of services in the area. Councillor Loughnane stated that there was no point in having the clause which referred to the population of Oranmore. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that this was a broad sweeping statement and would be thrown back at the members as the method and way to develop.

This statement refers to all communities he added. Councillor Finnegan stated that it should clearly indicate that this statement refers only to Oranmore. He felt that it did not make a difference whether it was included in the draft plan or not. Councillor O'Byrne suggested that strategies 1, 2 and 3 be stated, but that the comments following strategy 3 be left out. This was agreed by the members. Councillor Gavin referring to the land use transportation study requested to know at what date it would be available and what was the time scale. Mr. Callagy then proceeded to discuss reference 6.2.2. which relates to satellite towns. He stated that plans were not hard and fast documents - they could be varied. He then referred to the population quoted in table 6(a) and stated that these were possible objectives and proceeded to go through the table. Councillor Loughnane stated that there was a requirement that plans be prepared for Clarinbridge and Craughwell. He added that there is a huge demand for houses in these locations and that the demand was for individual houses. He proposed that Craughwell be included in the table mentioned. Councillor Joe Burke referring to Turloughmore stated that this area was entitled to be developed. Mr. Callagy informed the members that Craughwell was not a satellite village, but that it was included in the chapter under villages which are situated on national primary roads. Councillor Byrne stated that two of the villages mentioned in table 6(a) come within the City environs, i.e. Clarinbridge and Oranmore. He was advised that Galway City environs does not include villages. Deputy O'Cuiv referred to the population of towns such as Loughrea, Gort, Athenry. He stated that the plan was providing for a 12,000 increase in the population of satellite towns. This would be at the expensive of the population of the rest of the county and it was going totally against national policy. Deputy Connaughton stated that he assumed planners see a much bigger Galway City. Was there something that can be put in place to balance this development as other areas should benefit also. Can peoples views be changed in where they want to live and in this instance he referred to the district electoral division of Glenamaddy which is declining in population. Mr. Callagy stated that to effect growth of villages then ribbon development must be reduced and services provided in the villages. He added that the public infrastructure was the biggest expense in maintaining a City. The Land Use Transportation Study will address such issues. Deputy McCormack stated that you cannot increase the population of a town without providing services and requested to know where such centres are mentioned in the County Development Plan, does this strengthen their case for the provision of services and funding. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that he believed that it did advance such centres, but at a price.

He added that the transfer of populations was regulated by the provision of services and argued that the centralisation of services was no longer necessary. He stated that millions were being put into infrastructure in the Dublin area, services should be put into villages as they now stand. There should not be a requirement that the population in those villages increases in order to acquire such services. Mr. Callagy stated that the objective was to prepare a plan for these areas and added that too much was being read into this table. The fact that a plan is prepared will not prejudice other places. He added that the Council was providing for growth in a planned way. Councillor Loughnane referring to Craughwell requested the preparation of a plan for this village and its inclusion in the County Development Plan. Mr. Callagy informed the Councillor that this village was listed for the preparation of a plan which was included in another chapter. Councillor Loughnane proposed that the village be added to the list included in table 6(a). The Councillor restated that economics are dictated by Government Policy and resources are being put into centres. He added that small communities in peripheral areas must be acknowledged. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that if the services are put into an area, then the developers will build there. He proposed that no population figures be indicated for Barna or Spiddal. Councillor Gavin stated that it cannot leave out population figures and plan for an area. A plan must be realistic and he added that the Barna people want such a document prepared for their area. Mr. Callagy stated that the members can make a decision that the plan does not provide for the growth of Barna or Spiddal. Deputy Connaughton stated that people are more likely to want to live in Barna than for instance Mountbellew and there must be some kind of plan in place for the development of these areas. Mr. Callagy then referred to Section 6.3 which dealt with the zoning of satellite villages. It was proposed by Deputy O'Cuiv that this topic be concluded at the Area Committee meetings and following this that it be brought back to the Council for discussion. This proposal was seconded by Councillor Loughnane.

#### *An Gaeltacht*

Mr. Callagy preceded to go through the document which was circulated to the members and dealt with the chapter on the Gaeltacht. The introduction to the chapter was agreed by the members. Mr. Callagy then dealt with the physical identity of the area and in particular the architecture. Councillor O'Neill wanted to know was the Planning Authority advising the public of the plans mentioned. He was advised that these documents were included in the County Development Plan. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that he did not see the relevance of including architecture in this chapter.

It had no effect on the linguistic nature of the area and should be removed. Deputy Connaughton acknowledged the fact that the building of a house will be carried out by persons who want their particular type of plan of house. He wished to know if there could be positive discrimination in order to change the types of house designs. Mr. Callagy stated that the objective was to have a good design and that the design and siting of houses in the Gaeltacht area was a disaster. He reiterated that the duty of the Planning Authority was to promote good design and in speaking about positive discrimination this would relate to grants. Deputy O'Cuiv refuted the implication that as a Councillor he was not interested in design. He stated that he could not see the huge architectural difference between Carna and Renville in Oranmore. He felt that this topic on architecture should be included in chapter 9 and that there was no particular architecture associated with the Gaeltacht area. He agreed with Mr. Callagy that in Connemara in general there is a need for design and siting of houses, but this should be concluded in the Amenity chapter. He proposed that the paragraph on architecture be included in chapter 9. This proposal was seconded by Councillor Byrne. Councillor Byrne expressed his agreement with Mr. Callagy in that in relation to design of houses, which he felt were poor. Mr. Callagy stated that this chapter was there to improve the area and that poor architecture detracts from such locations. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that he only wanted the chapter to address the linguistic aspects of the Gaeltacht. Mr. Callagy informed the members that this County Development Plan was a land use plan and that it was the objective of the Planning Authority to promote good design.

Deputy O'Cuiv proposed that a development objective be specific to the Gaeltacht and referred to his submission. He stated that all major planning developments in the Gaeltacht should be subject to a language impact study. This would for instance relate to a large scale housing scheme. Councillor Gavin expressed his agreement with Deputy O'Cuiv on this matter. He stated that such areas as Claregalway and Annaghdown had the same standing in the Gaeltacht as Carraroe and that the impact of development would vary in these areas. Mr. Callagy stated that he had no difficulty with Deputy O'Cuiv's submission. He stated that major developments should refer to major commercial development. Deputy O'Cuiv proposed that (i) the statement of effect on language and (ii) planning authority would consider this statement in dealing with planning applications. The Council agreed to leave architecture in the chapter on the Gaeltacht. Mr. Callagy then dealt with item no. 2 on the Gaeltacht chapter which referred to signage.

Councillor Gavin stated that there was a clear difference between Gaeltacht and non Gaeltacht areas. In referring to 2(b) he requested that the words "as far as practical" be included in the statement. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that this should be "where appropriate". This was agreed by the members. On referring to 2(c)(ii), Development Sectors, the Council agreed to include Moycullen and Barna under this heading.

#### *Chapter 7 - Rural Areas*

Mr. Callagy proceeded to deal with chapter 7 which relates to rural areas. Deputy O'Cuiv proposed that under 7.0 on the definition, the following wording should be used "The areas comprise all lands in the county excluding urban areas as described hereunder". This proposal was seconded by Councillor Gavin. Deputy Connaughton stated that he had an interest in 7.0 (c) on development within the speed limits and referred to the National Roads Authority and their decision on the recent speed limits throughout the county. He stated that people were being left in limbo and that there was no reason that planning permission should be refused within the forty mile speed limit. He proposed that the forty mile speed limit replace the thirty mile speed limit and in this way people will be enticed to live within villages. He then spoke on the position of Mountbellew in relation to existing speed limits. The proposal was seconded by Councillor Cunningham. Mr. Callagy stated that this was bad planning and would lead to an increase in ribbon development. Councillor Byrne stated that this did not go far enough in certain towns and villages.

Deputy Connaughton stated that the National Roads Authority were making decisions outside of the bounds of the local community. He added that the Council were trying to get people to live in towns and villages and in turn will not extend speed limits. Councillor Gavin referring to the definition on page 1 stated that this was too narrow. He seconded Deputy O'Cuiv's submission. The Council members agreed with the change suggested by Deputy O'Cuiv. Section 7.1 which dealt with the main aims such as infrastructure, assimilation of development to public safety and environmental protection, was agreed by the members. Section 7.2.1. which dealt with urban sprawl was then discussed. Councillor Brennan referring to the definition essential housing need stated that the son and daughter requirement was too restrictive and he suggested that nephew and niece should also be included in this definition. Councillor Byrne's suggested that son or daughter of an emigrant would be more favourable.

Mr. Callagy clarified the matter and stated that the Council were only talking about the area within the environs of Galway City and that development control should be kept as tight as possible. If all exceptions were included then the Council would have no plan. Deputy McCormack requested to know how this area compared with the Galway City Development Plan. Deputy Connaughton referring to Councillor Brennan's comments wished to know would an inheritor be entitled to build on land. Deputy O'Cuiv referred to cases where nephews or nieces take care of uncles or aunts and he proposed that "son and daughter" be replaced by "a close relative". Councillor Byrne stated that because of the restrictions there would be no developments on the land other than the essential housing needs category. Referring to page 2 and the statement under areas of Special Development Control commencing with "Residential development shall be restricted to the essential family housing need category", he stated that this would cause problems and restrict development. He requested that it be looked at. Mr. Callagy stated that the definition could be widened to "Son/Daughter or Heir". He recommended that the definition be kept as tight as possible. Councillor Byrne suggested that they defer the discussion on residential development at the moment. Mr. Callagy then referred to the paragraph on cluster development and stated that it related to development within 0.5 miles from national schools. He referred to the 100 feet road frontage requirement. He stated that this was a minimum standard. Councillor O'Sullivan was advised that the road frontage was related to the septic tank requirements in accordance to SR6. Mr. Callagy informed the members that the plan would be inoperable if there was no minimum site frontage. Deputy O'Cuiv referring to minimum site sizes stated that he opposed arbitrary sizes and that each septic tank application should be treated on its merits rather than have minimum requirements.

Deputy Connaughton referring to cases where an applicant had only eighty feet road frontage was there any provision to cater for this instance. Mr. Liam Kavanagh, Senior Executive Engineer, referred to septic tank minimum requirements and site size. He stated that the Department of the Environment insists that all planning applications comply with the SR6. He then referred to an example where the minimum road frontage was not adhered to and the difficulties it had caused. By reading the County Development Plan you must be in a position to know if you are able to get planning permission or not. Councillor Burke stated that 100 feet road frontage should be allowed, but there should be some discretion.

PAGE NO. 8

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING HELD ON 21/08/95

Councillor McHugh stated that there were people advising clients on the basis of the County Development Plan and that you have to be aware that it is a plan for the County. Professionals are studying this plan and require a clear statement of policy from it. The public must be aware of the planning requirements and know where they stand. Mr. Callagy then referred to 7.2.9. on site requirements and stated that under item no. 3, exceptional cases could be considered. Deputy O'Cuiv stated that he agreed with Councillor McHugh that there should be no grey areas in the plan. The meeting then concluded.

CHRIOCHNAIGH AN CRUINNIU ANSIN

Submitted, Signed + Approved.

John M. Meenan,  
(Chairman)

Date

COMHAIRLE CHONTAE NA GAILLIMHE

(Galway County Council)

Oifig an Runai,  
Arus an Chontae,  
GAILLIMH.

15 Lúnasa, 1995

CHUIG GACH BALL DE'N CHOMHAIRLE/

A Chara,

You are requested to attend a meeting of the Council **In Committee** to consider Review of County Development Plan which will be held at the County Buildings, Galway, on **Monday, 21st August, 1995**, commencing at **11.00 a.m.**

Mise, le meas,

T. KAVANAGH,  
County Secretary.

CLAR

1. Review of the County Development Plan.
-

**COMHAIRLE CHONTAE NA GAILLIMHE**

**MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF GALWAY COUNTY  
COUNCIL HELD IN COMMITTEE AT THE COUNTY  
BUILDINGS, GALWAY ON MONDAY, 31ST JULY, 1995**

**Cathaoirleach:-** Councillor J. Mannion.

**Bail:-** Cllrs. J. Brennan, J. Burke, W. Burke, T. Byrne, Deputies P. Connaughton & E. O'Cuiv, Cllrs. M. Cunningham, M. Fahy, P. Finnegan, M. Finnerty, S. Gavin, M. Loughnane, Deputy P. McCormack, Sen. J. McDonagh, Cllrs. M. Mullins, C. Ni Fhatharta, S. O'Neachtain, M. O'Neill, P. O'Sullivan, P. O'Tuathail, K. Quinn, M. Regan, M. Ryan, E. Varley, and T. Walsh.

**Oifigigh:-** Messrs. D. O'Donoghue Co. Manager, D. Nelson, Asst. Co. Manager, P. Flood, Co. Engineer, B. Callagy, L. Kavanagh, S.E.E.'s, M. Flynn, Asst. Planner, T. Connell, Senior Staff Officer, M. O'Halloran & B. Ginnelly, C.T.'s and B. Doyle, A/Co. Secretary.

Thosnaigh an crinniu leis an paidir.

**RESOLUTION OF SYMPATHY**

3216

A Resolution of Sympathy was passed with the following:-

Ms. Bridie Hussey, Patch, Glenamaddy, Co. Galway.

**EXTENSION OF TIME - DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

3217

The Acting County Secretary informed the Meeting that the Minister for the Environment had extended the time for consideration and adoption of the Development Plan to the 31st March, 1996.

**REVIEW OF COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

3218

**CHAPTER 3 - Roads, Sanitary Services & Amenity  
Development**

Deputy O'Cuiv referred to 3.1.3. He stated that it was important to include that local roads are for local people. He proposed to add social activities and the benefits for local quality of life to the sentence. This was agreed by the Council.

The County Manager referring to the last paragraph under road classification on page 1 stated that this required rewording.

Deputy O'Cuiv requested that the second last line on page 2 under reference 3.1.3 be deleted. This was agreed by the members. Referring to 3.1.3.(ii), the Deputy stated that there should be a statement that the Council look for money for small sections on National Primary Routes.

Cllr. Burke referred to the disgraceful state of the National Primary Road from Tuam to Ballindine on the Mayo border.

Cllr. O'Neachtain referred to the prioritisation of projects and asked was Kilclooney first on the priority list. He requested that (a) and (b) on page 3 under the Galway/Sligo Road N17 be reversed.

The County Engineer informed the meeting that the fact that the dual carriageway is mentioned does not detract from other projects which the County Council undertake. He added that the road works at Kilclooney were at an advanced stage of planning.

Cllr. Burke stated that during the last 8 years no finance had been provided for Kilclooney and added that more money was being put into design rather than roads. He wanted Kilclooney to be first on the priority list for the N17.

The County Manager stated that he did not agree that more money was being put into design rather than actual road works.

Cllr. Burke replied that the neighbouring County of Mayo had carried out works on miles of roads.

Deputy O'Cuiv proposed (a) and (b) be reversed in order and this was agreed by the Council. He then referred to the Lydican stretch of road and requested that this be mentioned in the document. This was agreed by the Council. The Deputy then referred to the National Secondary Roads under 3.1.3 (ii) and requested that the following sentence be amended to include "towns on it". The sentence in question being "it serves the towns, villages of etc". There

should also be an objective included in the plan to seek funding.

The County Manager stated that the sentence on funding could be included under 3.1.1. This was agreed by the members.

Cllr. O'Neachtain referred to the negative aspect of 3.1.3 (ii) under National Secondary Roads and in relation to the funding he requested that the statement commencing "little funding has been provided etc." be reworded to reflect a more positive view point.

Cllr. Loughnane stated that there should be a statement in the plan that funding would be sought for the N66 and the N67 National Secondary Roads.

Deputy O'Cuiv referring to the National Roads Plan stated that funding should be sought to complete the objectives set out in this Plan.

Cllr. Finnegan stated that the Tuam/Dunmore Road should also be mentioned, especially the dangerous road at Browns Grove.

The County Engineer referred to the state of the National Secondary Road. He spoke on the procedure in dealing with road works and stated that road design money was first required to design the particular projects over a time-scale of approximately 2 years. It then would take two further years to complete the job in question. The County Council have not received finance for the design of roads over the last number of years.

Deputy O'Cuiv stated that there was work required on National Secondary Roads which did not require major design money.

Cllr. Finnegan requested that the stretch of road at Browns Grove be mentioned in the plan.

The County Engineer stated that all dangerous road areas are highlighted each year in a submission to the Department. He further added that the CPO procedure is a delay which must be taken into account when dealing with road works. Government policy directs that funding goes into National Primary Routes rather than Secondary Roads.

Cllr. O'Neachtain stated that the R336 should not be a regional route because of the heavy volume of traffic. This road should not be confined to a regional status and must be looked at urgently. It required that it be replaced and given a specific mention in the plan, the road serves the whole west area of the County and requires to be a priority. He added that upgrading the route would be impossible and it should be mentioned that a new road is

required.

Deputy O'Cuiv in supporting Cllr. O'Neachtain stated that regional and local roads should be dealt with in line with the 5 year plan.

The County Engineer referring to the R336 stated that it was a grossly overloaded road and that he would agree with the Councillors in this respect. Of all the regional roads in the County it is certainly the most heavily trafficked and extremely dangerous. A line has been selected for a new route replacing the existing road but further details and research will have to go into this project. He added that a land use transportation study is presently being prepared and the Council is awaiting its report.

Cllr. O'Neachtain stated that more emphasis must be put on the R336 and requested that a statement be included in the plan. This was agreed by the members.

Cllr. J. Burke asked how the County Council would be represented on the land transportation study.

The County Manager stated that it was a joint operation between the County Council and Galway Corporation.

The County Engineer and City Engineer together with senior planning officers from each local authority would form part of the group.

Deputy O'Cuiv welcomed the funding received for the study. He then spoke on the proposed bridge over the Corrib. He requested that all projects for bridges over the Corrib be mentioned and not one specific job.

Deputy McCormack stated that the bridge he had in mind was in Kilbeg/Knockferry which he felt required more priority. He added that there was no real commitment from the Council towards the provision of this bridge.

The County Engineer stated that the present bridge over the Corrib is almost up to capacity at the present moment. A further bridge would link the west and east of the County and would avoid traffic coming into the City centre. Its purpose would be to deal with the County traffic. Such a route must now be identified and included as an aspiration in the County Development Plan. Referring to the Kilbeg/Knockferry proposed bridge, he stated that on the cost benefit analysis this application did not stand up to scrutiny.

Deputy McCormack requested that the proposed Kilbeg/Knockferry bridge be addressed.

Deputy Connaughton referring to the requirement for a further bridge over the Corrib requested to know if a new road network would be put in place. He put the question, should traffic be intercepted at a proposed bridge at Knockferry.

The County Engineer stated that traffic volumes did not justify a bridge at Knockferry/Kilbeg. A further bridge in the City would have to have an attendant road network and that the County Council must plan for the future. All options would be looked at including the Kilbeg/Knockferry project but he added that every project was subject to cost benefit analysis.

Deputy O'Cuiv stated that he accepted what the County Engineer had outlined but he did not agree with the wording in the draft plan for the transportation study. He wanted a balance to be included in the statement.

Cllr. Varley referring to the proposed bridge at Kilbeg stated that it would take the volume of traffic away from the City.

Cllr. O'Neachtain concurred with the County Engineer. He would like to see the wording used for this study changed and agreed with Deputy O'Cuiv on this matter. He realises the importance of the land use transportation study.

Mr. Callagy stated that the reason it is emphasised is that it is a roads objective.

Cllr. Loughnane asked at what stage was a line reserved for a proposed bridge and would this be incorporated into the plan. He was advised that this was merely a statement and that a new bridge was required for crossing the Corrib.

The County Engineer stated that the Council have been looking for possible locations and will finally select one when the transportation study is produced.

Cllr. J. Burke asked if the proposed bridge for Kilbeg/Knockferry was included in the study.

The County Engineer advised that all crossings of the Corrib would be considered.

Deputy McCormack stated that he wanted the proposed bridge for Kilbeg/Knockferry included as an objective in the County Development Plan and added that he supported the financing of an up to date study on this project.

Cllr. J. Burke asked what was the time-scale involved.

The County Engineer stated that two years would be a

realistic time-scale.

Deputy Connaughton stated that he entirely agreed with the land use study concept. He felt that the Kilbeg/Knockferry Bridge should be included in the plan and suggested that they ask the consultants to consider Knockferry as an alternative proposal.

Cllr. J. Brennan requested to know if the Council would be informed of the options open to them.

The County Manager stated that it was important that the environs of Galway City be planned. The Department has stated that consultants must be appointed for this land use study and a brief with the terms of reference outlined. The Council members will be informed accordingly. He added that the Council want to maximise the potential for Galway. On Kilbeg the Department of Finance and the Department of the Environment have laid down strict guide-lines on the spending of public money. An economic analysis has been carried out of this project and it was found that it did not stand up.

Cllr. J. Brennan stated that social and economic factors should be taken into account in building this bridge.

The County Manager stated that the Department of Finance set down guide-lines for projects which must have a positive rate of return. The study has shown this project had a negative rate of return.

The Chairman stated that he supported the Kilbeg/Knockferry Bridge project but this should not be confused with the requirement for a further bridge in Galway City. He added that the Kilbeg/Knockferry bridge was a completely separate issue altogether.

Deputy McCormack stated that he wanted the Kilbeg/Knockferry bridge project included as an aspiration in the County Development plan. The report on this matter prepared in 1987 was out of date. He added that business people were willing to invest their money in providing this bridge and they were not being taken seriously. He added that he had no objection to an extra bridge in Galway City.

Deputy Connaughton stated that it was difficult to argue with the County Manager's statement but he wanted the consultants to comment on the project for Kilbeg/Knockferry and he would like to see this bridge option looked at.

Senator McDonagh stated that the Kilbeg/Knockferry proposed bridge should now be included as an objective in the County Development Plan and that the commitment of the local people should be taken into account together with the business people's offer of finance.

Deputy O'Cuiv proposed the following wording for the land use study "the future growth of Galway City and County and the consequential growth in traffic will require an improved road structure in the environs of Galway City. An integrated land use transportation study is required in order to plan the road structure including a new bridge over the Corrib and improved radial routes out of Galway City. This will involve the future use of land in the County as well as in the City area".

This proposal was agreed by the members.

The meeting then dealt with sanitary services objectives and 3.2.1 on sewerage was agreed. With respect to village plans, Cllr. O'Neachtain proposed that Carraroe and Spiddal be included under this heading.

Deputy Connaughton referred to the definition of a village which was within the 30 mph speed limit. He stated that the village boundary should not be related to where the speed limits are located. This exercise in defining a village should be dealt with in conjunction with the local communities. If you allow the National Roads Authority to define towns and villages, it is taking power away from the members.

3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.3, 3.3.4 were all agreed.

Cllr. O'Sullivan requested that signposting be included under 3.3.5 on tourist amenity development.

On 3.3.1 Cllr. W. Burke requested that Portumna should be added in. (This section dealt with plans and studies.)

#### CHAPTER 4 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Cllr. Byrne referred to the landfill charges and asked if the new charges would put people out of business.

The County Manager stated that the cost of waste management will be considerable and that the person who generates the waste must pay for its disposal. In relation to the disposal of waste, landfill sites come bottom of the priority as a method for disposal. He would encourage the involvement of the private sector with regard to waste disposal and recycling and would emphasise the principal that the polluter pays.

Cllr. O'Sullivan wished to know if there would only be two dumps in operation from 1995 onwards namely Carrowbrowne and Ballinasloe. He stated that the

householder would have to pay a huge cost to dispose of waste. In relation to the transfer station at Ballinasloe, would this station be in the control of the private sector.

The County Manager stated that the waste management plan set out the strategy for the next number of years: to begin with the landfill site in Clifden is coming to the end of its cycle and a transfer station requires to be put in place at this location. This will then be followed by a number of other locations throughout the County. The preferred method for the disposal of waste will no longer be the landfill system.

Cllr. Gavin enquired how the islands would fit into the waste management plan.

The County Manager indicated a meeting had been held with the islands people and they were asked to make submissions to the Council in relation to waste management.

Deputy O'Cuiv requested details of the planning procedure for the putting in place of transfer stations; was public notice required and would this be outlined in the County Development Plan.

The County Manager stated that the procedure was laid down in law. Mr. Tom Connell, Senior Staff Officer (Planning Department) informed the members that public notice was required where such development was to take place. Submissions or observations may be made from members of the public in relation to the proposed development by the local authority, submissions would be duly considered and a report is prepared for the Council members. The elected members then consider the report and their approval is required to proceed with the development.

Deputy O'Cuiv asked if this procedure could be written into the County Development Plan.

The members then agreed with the contents of chapter 4.

#### CHAPTER 5 - HOUSING & COMMUNITY FACILITIES

In relation to 5.1.1 objectives headed public housing Deputy O'Cuiv proposed the insertion of the following as item (e) "the elimination of demountable dwellings". This was supported by Cllr. Mullins.

The Chairman requested that the words "phase out" instead of "eliminated" be used. This was agreed.

Deputy O'Cuiv referred to 5.1.2 (5) (2) which dealt with the demand for houses in urban areas. He stated that he was not in agreement with this statement and

Deputy O'Cuiv proposed the following wording for the land use study "the future growth of Galway City and County and the consequential growth in traffic will require an improved road structure in the environs of Galway City. An integrated land use transportation study is required in order to plan the road structure including a new bridge over the Corrib and improved radial routes out of Galway City. This will involve the future use of land in the County as well as in the City area".

This proposal was agreed by the members.

The meeting then dealt with sanitary services objectives and 3.2.1 on sewerage was agreed. With respect to village plans, Cllr. O'Neachtain proposed that Carraroe and Spiddal be included under this heading.

Deputy Connaughton referred to the definition of a village which was within the 30 mph speed limit. He stated that the village boundary should not be related to where the speed limits are located. This exercise in defining a village should be dealt with in conjunction with the local communities. If you allow the National Roads Authority to define towns and villages, it is taking power away from the members.

3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.3, 3.3.4 were all agreed.

Cllr. O'Sullivan requested that signposting be included under 3.3.5 on tourist amenity development.

On 3.3.1 Cllr. W. Burke requested that Portumna should be added in. (This section dealt with plans and studies.)

#### CHAPTER 4 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Cllr. Byrne referred to the landfill charges and asked if the new charges would put people out of business.

The County Manager stated that the cost of waste management will be considerable and that the person who generates the waste must pay for its disposal. In relation to the disposal of waste, landfill sites come bottom of the priority as a method for disposal. He would encourage the involvement of the private sector with regard to waste disposal and recycling and would emphasise the principal that the polluter pays.

Cllr. O'Sullivan wished to know if there would only be two dumps in operation from 1995 onwards namely Carrowbrowne and Ballinasloe. He stated that the

householder would have to pay a huge cost to dispose of waste. In relation to the transfer station at Ballinasloe, would this station be in the control of the private sector.

The County Manager stated that the waste management plan set out the strategy for the next number of years: to begin with the landfill site in Clifden is coming to the end of its cycle and a transfer station requires to be put in place at this location. This will then be followed by a number of other locations throughout the County. The preferred method for the disposal of waste will no longer be the landfill system.

Cllr. Gavin enquired how the islands would fit into the waste management plan.

The County Manager indicated a meeting had been held with the islands people and they were asked to make submissions to the Council in relation to waste management.

Deputy O'Cuiv requested details of the planning procedure for the putting in place of transfer stations; was public notice required and would this be outlined in the County Development Plan.

The County Manager stated that the procedure was laid down in law. Mr. Tom Connell, Senior Staff Officer (Planning Department) informed the members that public notice was required where such development was to take place. Submissions or observations may be made from members of the public in relation to the proposed development by the local authority, submissions would be duly considered and a report is prepared for the Council members. The elected members then consider the report and their approval is required to proceed with the development.

Deputy O'Cuiv asked if this procedure could be written into the County Development Plan.

The members then agreed with the contents of chapter 4.

#### CHAPTER 5 - HOUSING & COMMUNITY FACILITIES

In relation to 5.1.1 objectives headed public housing Deputy O'Cuiv proposed the insertion of the following as item (e) "the elimination of demountable dwellings". This was supported by Cllr. Mullins.

The Chairman requested that the words "phase out" instead of "eliminated" be used. This was agreed.

Deputy O'Cuiv referred to 5.1.2 (5) (2) which dealt with the demand for houses in urban areas. He stated that he was not in agreement with this statement and

Deputy O'Cuiv proposed the following wording for the land use study "the future growth of Galway City and County and the consequential growth in traffic will require an improved road structure in the environs of Galway City. An integrated land use transportation study is required in order to plan the road structure including a new bridge over the Corrib and improved radial routes out of Galway City. This will involve the future use of land in the County as well as in the City area".

This proposal was agreed by the members.

The meeting then dealt with sanitary services objectives and 3.2.1 on sewerage was agreed. With respect to village plans, Cllr. O'Neachtain proposed that Carraroe and Spiddal be included under this heading.

Deputy Connaughton referred to the definition of a village which was within the 30 mph speed limit. He stated that the village boundary should not be related to where the speed limits are located. This exercise in defining a village should be dealt with in conjunction with the local communities. If you allow the National Roads Authority to define towns and villages, it is taking power away from the members.

3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.3, 3.3.4 were all agreed.

Cllr. O'Sullivan requested that signposting be included under 3.3.5 on tourist amenity development.

On 3.3.1 Cllr. W. Burke requested that Portumna should be added in. (This section dealt with plans and studies.)

#### CHAPTER 4 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Cllr. Byrne referred to the landfill charges and asked if the new charges would put people out of business.

The County Manager stated that the cost of waste management will be considerable and that the person who generates the waste must pay for its disposal. In relation to the disposal of waste, landfill sites come bottom of the priority as a method for disposal. He would encourage the involvement of the private sector with regard to waste disposal and recycling and would emphasise the principal that the polluter pays.

Cllr. O'Sullivan wished to know if there would only be two dumps in operation from 1995 onwards namely Carrowbrowne and Ballinasloe. He stated that the

householder would have to pay a huge cost to dispose of waste. In relation to the transfer station at Ballinasloe, would this station be in the control of the private sector.

The County Manager stated that the waste management plan set out the strategy for the next number of years: to begin with the landfill site in Clifden is coming to the end of its cycle and a transfer station requires to be put in place at this location. This will then be followed by a number of other locations throughout the County. The preferred method for the disposal of waste will no longer be the landfill system.

Cllr. Gavin enquired how the islands would fit into the waste management plan.

The County Manager indicated a meeting had been held with the islands people and they were asked to make submissions to the Council in relation to waste management.

Deputy O'Cuiv requested details of the planning procedure for the putting in place of transfer stations; was public notice required and would this be outlined in the County Development Plan.

The County Manager stated that the procedure was laid down in law. Mr. Tom Connell, Senior Staff Officer (Planning Department) informed the members that public notice was required where such development was to take place. Submissions or observations may be made from members of the public in relation to the proposed development by the local authority, submissions would be duly considered and a report is prepared for the Council members. The elected members then consider the report and their approval is required to proceed with the development.

Deputy O'Cuiv asked if this procedure could be written into the County Development Plan.

The members then agreed with the contents of chapter 4.

#### CHAPTER 5 - HOUSING & COMMUNITY FACILITIES

In relation to 5.1.1 objectives headed public housing Deputy O'Cuiv proposed the insertion of the following as item (e) "the elimination of demountable dwellings". This was supported by Cllr. Mullins.

The Chairman requested that the words "phase out" instead of "eliminated" be used. This was agreed.

Deputy O'Cuiv referred to 5.1.2 (5) (2) which dealt with the demand for houses in urban areas. He stated that he was not in agreement with this statement and

requested that it be deleted. This was agreed. The item on specific objective was also agreed to be omitted under this heading. The remainder of 5.1.2. was agreed. Deputy O'Cuiv referring to 5.3 on private housing stated that the development of Oranmore would end up as a mini Tallaght.

Cllr. Loughnane stated that there were other towns where there was demand for housing and people in these towns were finding it difficult to get sites within the town boundaries. He requested the inclusion of a statement of the need for development sites within certain towns. He added that it would be desirable to have an objective in the plan to grant planning permission within 3 to 4 miles of the town boundaries.

Mr. Callagy stated that he would research this matter and refer back to the Council.

Cllr. Loughnane stated that planning permission has been refused in the Loughrea area for the flimsiest reasons.

Cllr. Varley suggested that the County Council buy derelict sites located in towns and villages. It was agreed to delete the last sentence in the paragraph on private housing.

Deputy O'Cuiv then referred to the fire services and spoke on the fire services requirement in Inishmaan, Inishmore and Inishbofin. He requested that they be included as objectives. This was agreed by the members.

#### CHAPTER 6 - LAND USE PLANNING

Under 6.1. on scheduled towns, Deputy O'Cuiv referring to the statement on urban sprawl expressed his disagreement with the wording.

He was advised by Mr. Callagy that the County Council has been unable to control urban sprawl.

Deputy O'Cuiv proposed that the statement be changed and added that the problem with sprawl is the growth of Galway City and not the lack of controls.

Cllr. J. Burke stated that they should have a more positive statement included instead.

Mr. Callagy replied that the basic principle was to separate town and country and to preserve the rural landscape.

Deputy O'Cuiv referring to the growth of Galway City stated that urban sprawl existed around all cities.

Mr. Callagy then referred to 6.2.1 which deals with the long term growth of Galway City environs and

spoke on the three strategies outlined. He added that strategy no. 2 was the best option.

Deputy O'Cuiv stated that the members know the demographic trend of Galway City, rural areas are declining and if Galway City continues growing, there will be little left in the rest of the County.

Cllr. J. Burke expressed his agreement with Deputy O'Cuiv and stated that the development plan should not supervise the decline of County Galway.

Cllr. O'Neachtain stated that there must be a good liaison between the Corporation and the County Council. He then referred to Barna and stated that infrastructure must also be provided in this area. He added that Galway City was increasing at an uncontrollable rate.

Cllr. Loughnane stated that he made a submission to have positive plans for villages. A plan for smaller towns should be included. The Council must deal with areas of the County which are dying from depopulation.

Deputy O'Cuiv referring to 6.2.1 stated that there should be an objective in the plan that Galway City does not expand at the expense of the County and proposed that this be included as an objective in the County Development Plan. This was seconded by Cllr. Loughnane.

Deputy McCormack stated that the Council could have plans for Oranmore and Barna which are situated in the County area but what happens in the borough is not their decision.

Cllr. Brennan stated that if people want to move into the borough, it is their choice.

Cllr. Finnegan stated that if the objective outlined in strategy 2 is followed, then a new bridge over the Corrib would not be required.

Deputy O'Cuiv stated that it is the policy to create growth centres and that most jobs are generated by state activity e.g. health, education, and government agencies; these are the greatest creator of urban development and people live where the jobs are.

Cllr. O'Neachtain stated that the long term strategy must be a joint exercise being Galway Corporation and Galway County Council.

6.1. was agreed subject to the re-phasing of the last sentence. It was decided that the phrase recommending strategy 2 be omitted.

Mr. Callagy advised the members that a statement would be inserted in this document stating that

Galway City should not grow at the expense of the County.

Referring to 6.2.2 on satellite villages, Cllr. O'Neachtain requested the re-phasing of this paragraph in order to protect the County area from the growth of Galway City.

Deputy O'Cuiv stated that if Spiddal is included under this heading then the Gaeltacht area will be destroyed. It would lead to people coming from outside.

Cllr. O'Neachtain stated the villages must be protected as they have a character of their own.

Deputy O'Cuiv added that if a major development is proposed for Spiddal then this will result in the loss of the language in the area.

Cllr. O'Neachtain stated that the growth of Spiddal village had to be controlled and that it was their preference that the cultural entity be maintained rather than it be turned into a satellite village.

Mr. Callagy stated that it was agreeable to change the long term date with existing growth level.

Cllr. Gavin asked if the Council were allowing development to take place without sufficient services being available and in this instance he referred to Moycullen which had a problem with the sewerage system.

Mr. Callagy then referred to a zoning map which was being circulated to the members and dealt with zoning of Oranmore village. He then proceeded to go through the zoned towns within the County area.

Deputy O'Cuiv proposed that the town zoned plans be dealt with at a later meeting and this was agreed by the members. The contents on the Environs of Tuam and Loughrea under 6.3 was agreed.

Deputy O'Cuiv requested clarification on 6.4.2 which provides for the growth of areas with planned boundaries of Furbo, Knock and Ballinahown. What was their designation.

Mr. Callagy stated that the village boundaries outlined the area within which community facilities could be built and this proposal was then agreed by the members.

On 6.5 villages on national routes Mr. Callagy spoke on this topic, the contents of which were agreed by the members.

6.6. which deals with civic amenity planning was agreed by the members.

Deputy O'Cuiv then spoke on the details relating to the maximum size of school population requirements as detailed on page 2 of the document. He made a proposal that this paragraph be removed.

Mr. Callagy expressed his strong objection to its removal and stated that there are standards which must be used in calculating planning targets.

Deputy O'Cuiv added that he did not agree with design type population.

#### **CHANGE OF ORDER OF BUSINESS**

3219

The Members agreed to discuss the following matters:-

- : National Roads Authority Meeting with Action Group Re: Loughrea Bye-pass (27/07/95)
- : Special Restoration Programme - Regional and County Roads
- : Urban Renewal Grants 1995.

#### **LOUGHREA BYE-PASS**

3220

The Assistant County Manager who attended the meeting between the N.R.A. and the Action Group from Loughrea on the 27th July, 1995 presented a detailed account of the meeting to the Members. The County Manager agreed to update the Members at the next meeting of the Council on any further developments.

#### **REGIONAL AND COUNTY ROADS SPECIAL RESTORATION PROGRAMME**

3221

The County Manager indicated that Galway County Council had received one of the highest allocations under this Programme in the country.

Special Restoration Improvement Grant -	£1,236,000
Special Restoration Maintenance Grant -	£ 205,000
TOTAL	£1,441,000

The Members of the Council congratulated the Council's Engineering Staff on the submission made to the Department of the Environment and noted that a report (outlining expenditure proposals) would be available for the next meeting of the Council.

#### **URBAN RENEWAL GRANTS 1995**

3222

The County Manager indicated that the Council had

received the following amounts under the Urban, Rural  
and Village Operational Programme:-

Urban Grants	£ 30,000
Village Grants	£175,000
Total	£205,000
	=====

The Council received full funding for their proposals under the village grants programme in the sum of £175,000. However, a reduction of £10,000 in the urban grants from the proposal submitted was noted by the Members. The County Manager indicated in response to queries from Councillors that local contributions would have to be received by the Council within two weeks and that the local contribution had to be cash.

On the proposal of Councillor McHugh, seconded by Councillor O'Neachtain it was unanimously agreed to change the date of the planning meeting from the 11th August, 1995 to 21st August, 1995, the meeting to commence at 11.00 a.m.

**CHRIOCHNAIGH AN CRUINNIU ANSIN**

Submitted, Approved + Signed:

John M. Hanrahan  
Chairman

28/8/95

Date

**COMHAIRLE CHONTAE NA GAILLIMHE**  
**(Galway County Council)**

Oifig an Runai,  
Arus an Chontae,  
GAILLIMH.

25 Iuil, 1995

**CHUIG GACH BALL DE'N CHOMHAIRLE!**

A Chara,

You are requested to attend a meeting of the Council in **Committee** to consider Review of County Development Plan which will be held at the County Buildings, Galway, on **Monday, 31st July, 1995**, commencing at **11.00 a.m.**

Mise, le meas,

B. DOYLE,  
A/County Secretary.

**CLAR**

1. Review of the County Development Plan.
-